IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| MARIA ROGERS, INDIVIDUALLY | § | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| AND anf of D.R. AND AS REPRESENTATIVE | § | |
| OF LINDA MICHELLE ROGERS, DECEASED, | § | |
| JOSE FISCAL AND AMPARO CAMACHO, | § | CASE NO. 3:18-cv-0869-M |
| Plaintiffs, | § | |
| | § | |
| v. | § | |
| | § | |
| ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION, | § | MOTION FOR REMAND BRIEF |
| Defendant. | § | |

PLAINTIFFS' AND INTERVENORS' MOTION TO REMAND

COMES NOW Plaintiffs' and Intervenors and file this Motion to Remand and for costs and expenses in connection therewith as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs are Maria Rogers, Individually and a/n/f of D.R. and as Representative of Linda Michelle Rogers, deceased, Jose Fiscal, and Amparo Camacho.
- 2. Intervenors are Clifton Rogers, Andreas Portillo, and Griselda Portillo, Individually and as next friend of her minor children, A.P. and A.P.
- 3. Defendant Atmos Energy Corporation has received notice of the suit.
- 4. Defendant filed its notice of removal on April 9, 2018.
- 5. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), if it appears that the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the court shall remand the case.
- 6. The claims set forth in the Plaintiffs' and Intervenors' (collectively "Plaintiffs") state Petitions for damages arise under state law and present no substantial federal question for purposes of establishing federal jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 7. Defendant Atmos, as the removing party who bears the burden of establishing federal jurisdiction, has failed to demonstrate applicability of the elements necessary for jurisdiction based

upon a substantial federal question.

- 3. Atmos cannot prove the four conjunctive elements necessary for federal question jurisdiction to attach:
 - (1) A federal right is an essential element of the state claims;
 - (2) Interpretation of the federal right is necessary to resolve the case;
 - (3) The question of federal law is substantial; and
 - (4) Resolution of the claim in federal court will not disrupt the federal-state balance approved by Congress.¹
- 4. The pleaded facts contain state law claims sounding in common law negligence and Texas' statutory causes of action. The regulations cited by Atmos as *federal* regulations are in truth, as reflected on page 5 of the Plaintiffs' Petition, *State of Texas* regulations whereby Texas adopted 49 C.F.R. Part 192 as it own. These regulations merely implicate state law negligence *per se* claims, not a federal question.
- Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division precedent instructs that Atmos' removal is not proper because negligence *per se* claims in a state case, based upon failure to comply with applicable 49 C.F.R. part 192 regulation is not a substantial federal issue. Proper analysis reveals that exercising federal jurisdiction over every state tort law claim that involved a federal regulation standard of care would inundate the federal courts with state tort law cases.² Quoting from the Restatement (Third) of Torts, the Supreme Court and the *Delgado* court recognized that "[t]he violation of federal statutes and regulations is commonly given negligence per se effect in state court proceedings." *Id.* at **8-9 (citing *Grable*, 545 U.S. at 318-19 (quoting *Restatement (Third) of Torts § 14*, Reporter's Note, Comment a, p. 195 (Tent. Draft No. 1, March 28, 2001)).

2

¹ Franchise Tax Board v. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust for S. Cal., 463 U.S. 1 (1983); Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Eng'g & Mfg., 545 U.S. 308, 314 (2005).

² *Id.* at 9.

6. Atmos' reliance upon Bd. Of Commissioners of Se. La. Flood Protection Authority-East v.

Tenn. Gas Pipeline Co., 850 F.3d 714, 723 (5th Cir. 2017) is misplaced because state legal

precedent conclusively determined that the defendants in that case had no duty for the alleged

claims under state law.

7. Atmos' claim that Plaintiffs' Petition "exclusively" relies on federal regulation is an

objectively unreasonable basis for removal. It is factually incorrect. The Court should award

Plaintiffs their costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of Atmos' improper

removal.

8. In support of this Motion, Plaintiffs rely upon their Memorandum filed contemporaneously

with this Motion.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs respectfully move for this Court to

enter its Order remanding this action to County Court at Law in Dallas County, Texas from where

it was removed, find that the removal was objectively unreasonable and grant leave to bring to the

court evidence of costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with the removal and

remand of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

TED B. LYON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Marquette Wolf

MARQUETTE WOLF

State Bar No. 00797685

mwolf@tedlyon.com

TED B. LYON

State Bar No. 12741500

tblyon@tedlyon.com

RICHARD MANN

State Bar No. 24079640

rmann@tedlyon.com

18601 LBJ Freeway, Suite 525

Mesquite, Texas 75150

3

Phone (972) 279-6571 Fax (972) 279-3021

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

SCOTT H. PALMER, P.C.

By: *Isl Scott H. Palmer*

SCOTT H. PALMER

State Bar No. 00797196

15455 Dallas Parkway, Suite 540

Addison, Texas 7500 l Telephone: 214.987.4100 Facsimile: 214.922.9900 scott@scottpalmerlaw.com

LAW OFFICES OF SEAN R. COX

By: <u>ls/Sean R. Cox</u>

SEAN R. COX

State Bar No. 24031980 Law Offices of Sean R. Cox

P.O. Box 130864 Dallas, TX 75313

Telephone: (214) 500-9280 Facsimile: (844) 501-8688 scox@coxappellate.com

LAW OFFICE OF PETE ROWE, P.C.

By: *Isl Pete Rowe*

PETE ROWE

State Bar No. 24072314

Law Office of Pete Rowe, P.C.

P.O. Box 703256 Dallas, TX 75370

Phone: (817) 637-3830 Phone: (469) 554-6638 Facsimile: (972) 346-6783 pete.rowe.tx@gmail.com

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENOR CLIFTON ROGERS

BURT BARR & ASSOCIATES, L.L.P.

By: /s/ M. Forest Nelson

John Holman Barr

State Bar No. 01798700

M. Forest Nelson

State Bar No. 14904625

Mary Christian Barr

State Bar No. 24082586

P.O. Box 223667

Dallas, Texas 75222-3667

Telephone No. (214) 943-0012

Facsimile No. (214) 943-0048

ibarr@bbarr.com

fnelson@bbarr.com

mcbarr@bbarr.com

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENORS ANDREAS AND GRISELDA PORTILLO

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I certify that on Wednesday April 11, 2018, on behalf of all Plaintiffs and Intervenors, Marquette Wolf conferred with counsel for Atmos Energy Corporation, Rod Phelan, concerning the Motion for Remand. Further conference, under Local Rule 7.1, may result in an agreement but as of the filing of this Motion, no agreement could be reached.

/s/ Marquette Wolf MARQUETTE WOLF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On April 17, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk for the Northern District of Texas, using the court's electronic case filing system. I hereby certify that I have served all counsel and *pro se* parties of record electronically on the or by another manner authorized by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2).

/s/ M. Forest Nelson
M. FOREST NELSON